



Regular Elements and Von-Neumann Inverses of a Class of Zero Symmetric Local Near-Rings Admitting Frobenius Derivations

Joseph Motanya Abuga ^a,
Michael Onyango Ojiema ^{b*}
and Benard Muthiani Kivunge ^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Kisii University, Kenya.

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya.

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Kenyatta University, Kenya.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/ARJOM/2023/v19i1636

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94127>

Received: 01/10/2022

Accepted: 01/12/2022

Published: 10/01/2023

Original Research Article

Abstract

Let \mathcal{N} be a zero-symmetric local near-ring. An element $x \in \mathcal{N}$ is either regular, zero or a zero divisor. In this paper, we construct a class of zero symmetric local near-ring of characteristic p^k ; $k \geq 3$ admitting an identity frobenius derivation, characterize the structures and orders of the set $R(\mathcal{N})$, the regular compartment with an aim of advancing the classification problem of algebraic structures. The number theoretic notions relating the number of regular elements to Euler's phi-function and the arithmetic functions of Galois near-rings are

*Corresponding author: E-mail: mojiema@mmust.ac.ke;

adopted. Using the Fundamental Theorem of finitely generated Abelian groups, the structures of $R(\mathcal{N})$ are proved to be isomorphic to cyclic groups of various orders. The study also extends to the automorphism groups $Aut(R(\mathcal{N}))$ of the regular elements.

Keywords: Regular elements; Von-Neumann inverses; zero symmetric local near-rings.

Subject Classification: 16N60, 16W25, 16Y30.

1 Introduction

The study of near-rings with identity is very vital in generalizing characterization of commutative rings with identity. The original works on near-rings and their applications are attributed to Pilz[1] who have very good foundations upon which these algebraic structures could be advanced. Much of the recent works on the classification of finite rings with identity have however considered a characterization paradigm using the unit groups, the zero divisor graphs, adjacency and incidence matrices among others. This has left the non-linear aspects fairly untouched. In particular, regular elements and Von-Neumann inverses of near rings admitting derivations hardly exist in the available literature.

Oduor, Ojiema and Mmasi[2] determined construction of idealized local rings of characteristic $p^n : n = 1, 2, 3$ and determined the structures of the unit groups R^* . Osba, Henriksen and Osama [3] conducted a classification survey on combining local and Von Neumann Regular Rings as a basis upon which the regularity properties of rings and their ideals could be explored. The rings studied in [3] were finite and their Von Neumann inverses gave some asymptotic patterns. Their findings demonstrated how to combine the Von- Neumann inverses of classes of rings such as the power series rings and the ring of integers. They however did not count the number of regular elements in a given finite ring nor did they give the structural formulae for the regular elements and the Von Neumann inverses of the specified classes of rings. In a closely related research, the study on regular elements of Galois rings can be attributed to Osama and Emad [4] where they characterized the regular elements in the ring of integers modulo n , \mathbb{Z}_n . Furthermore, they studied the arithmetic functions denoted as $V(n)$ and determined the relationship between $V(n)$ and the Euler's phi function, $\varphi(n)$. This gave an extension of the ring theoretic algebra employed in counting the regular elements of \mathbb{Z}_n to the number theoretic methodologies. For instance, the research revealed that if a is a regular element in \mathbb{Z}_n , then $a^{(-1)} \equiv a^{\varphi(n)-1} \pmod{n}$. They proposed a criterion for getting the possible Von Neumann inverses in the set of regular elements of \mathbb{Z}_n and explored the asymptotic properties of $V(n)$. Their findings did not consider extensions and idealization using maximal submodules of $\mathbb{Z}_n \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Closely related works can also be seen in Osba et al [5] and Oduor, Omamo and Musoga[6]. Furthermore, Abujabal et al[7] considered the structure and commutativity of general near-rings. The ideas postulated in [7] were later improved by Asma and Inzamam[8] who gave a number of conditions that determine the commutators and anticommutators of zero symmetric near-rings with Jordan ideals and derivations. Akin[9] studied IFP ideals in near-rings while Ali, Bell and Miyan[10] considered generalized derivations in rings. In order to advance the problem of classification of algebraic structures, the paper discovers new classes of near-rings and classifies them via their regular elements.

2 Zero-Symmetric Local Near-Ring of Characteristic $p^k : k \geq 3$

Let $R_o = GN(p^{kr}, p^k)$. Let $i = 1, \dots, h$ and $u_i \in Z_L(\mathcal{N})$ and $\mathcal{M} = \langle u_i \rangle$. Then,

$$\mathcal{N} = R_o \oplus \mathcal{M} = R_o \oplus \sum_{i=1}^h (R_o/pR_o)^i$$

is a group with respect to addition.

On \mathcal{N} , let

$$(r_o, \bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_h)(s_o, \bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_h) = (r_o s_o, r_o \bar{s}_1 + \bar{r}_1 s_o, \dots, r_o \bar{s}_h + \bar{r}_h s_o)^\delta$$

where δ is the identity Frobenius automorphism. The multiplication turns \mathcal{N} into a local zero symmetric near-ring with identity $(1, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$.

Indeed $\mathcal{N} = R_o \oplus \mathcal{M}$ is commutative since δ is the identity Frobenius automorphism.

Proposition 2.1. Consider $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^{kr}, p^k)$ where $k \geq 3$. Then, $char \mathcal{N} = p^k$ and:

- (i). $Z_L(\mathcal{N}) = pR_o \oplus \sum_{i=1}^h (R_o/pR_o)^i$
- (ii). $(Z_L(\mathcal{N}))^{k-1} = p^{k-1}R_o \neq (0)$
- (iii). $(Z_L(\mathcal{N}))^k = (0)$.

Proof. Char $GN(p^{kr}, p^k) = char \mathcal{N}$ and $id_{\mathcal{N}} = id_{GN(p^{kr}, p^k)}$
 Let $a \in R_o$ and a not contained in pR_o and let $s \in Z_L(\mathcal{N})$.
 Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a + s)^{p^r} &= a^{p^r} + s' : (s' \in Z_L(\mathcal{N})) \\ &= (a + s'')^{p^r - 1} : (s'' \in Z_L(\mathcal{N})) \end{aligned}$$

But $(a + s'')^{p^r - 1} \equiv 1 + s'''$ with $s''' \in Z_L(\mathcal{N})$ and $(1 + s''')^{p^k - 1} = 1$. Hence $(a + s)$ is regular and not zero.

Since $|Z_L(\mathcal{N})| = p^{(h+k-1)r}$ and

$|(R_o/pR_o)^* + Z_L(\mathcal{N})| = (p^r - 1)p^{(h+k-1)r}$, it follows that

$(R_o/pR_o)^* + Z_L(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N} - Z_L(\mathcal{N})$ and hence all the elements outside $Z_L(\mathcal{N}) \setminus \{0\}$ are regular. □

Remark 2.1. A regular element $x \in R(\mathcal{N})$ may have more than one Von-Neumann inverse. However, for the classes of near-rings considered in this study, the Von-Neumann inverses are unique.

Proposition 2.2. Let \mathcal{N} be a class of near-ring of the construction. For $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and $x_0 \in I(x)$, where $I(x)$ is the inner inverse set, then:

$$I(x) = \{x_0 + \alpha - x_0 \alpha x x_0 \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{N}\}$$

Proof. From the construction, if $x \in \mathcal{N}$, then

$$x = (r_o + (\sum_{i=1}^h r_o + pr')r') \in GN(p^{kr}, p^k)/pGN(p^{kr}, p^k).$$

So the definition of the multiplication in \mathcal{N} gives the desired result. □

Denote by $l(x)$ and $r(x)$ the left and the right annihilator of an element $x \in \mathcal{N}$. So the inner annihilator of $x \in \mathcal{N}$ is: $Iann(x) = \{y \in \mathcal{N} : xyx = 0\}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{N} be the near ring of the construction. If $a \in R(\mathcal{N})$, then for any $b \in \mathcal{N}$, $bI(a)b$ is a singleton set if and only if $b \in \mathcal{N}a \cap a\mathcal{N}$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $x, y \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $b = xa = ay$ and let $a_o \in I(a)$. We then have that for any $t \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} b(a_o + t - a_o a t a_o) b &= (x a a_o + x a t - x a t a_o) a y \\ &= x a y + x a t a y - x a t a y \\ &= x a y \end{aligned}$$

Thus the set $bI(a)b = \{xay\}$ is singleton.

Conversely, suppose that $bI(a)b = \{baob\}$.

We then have: $b(a_o + t - a_oataa_o)b = ba_o b$ for any $t \in \mathcal{N}$. This implies that for any $t \in \mathcal{N}$, we have: $b(t - a_oataa_o)b = 0$(i). Substituting $(1 - a_oa)t$ for t in this equality yields $b(1 - a_oataa_o)tb = 0$ for any $t \in \mathcal{N}$. But \mathcal{N} constructed is semiprime so that $b(1 - a_oa) = 0 \Rightarrow b = ba_o a \in \mathcal{N}a$ (ii)

Similarly, substituting t by $t(1 - aa_o)$ in the equality (i) gives $b = aa_o b \in a\mathcal{N}$(iii)

Comparing (ii) and (iii), we conclude that $b \in \mathcal{N}a \cap a\mathcal{N}$ □

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{N} be the near ring constructed and let $b, d \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $b + d$ is a Von Neumann regular element. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $b\mathcal{N} \oplus d\mathcal{N} = (b + d)\mathcal{N}$
- (ii) $\mathcal{N}b \oplus \mathcal{N}d = \mathcal{N}(b + d)$
- (iii) $b\mathcal{N}b \cap d\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{N}b \cap \mathcal{N}d = \{0\}$.

The next result shows when $I(a) \subseteq I(b)$ necessarily and sufficiently where $a, b \in \mathcal{N}$

Proposition 2.3. *Let $a, b \in R(\mathcal{N})$. Then $I(a) \subseteq I(b)$ if and only if $b\mathcal{N} \cap d\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{N}b \cap \mathcal{N}d = \{0\}$ where $a = d + b$*

Proof. Let $I(a) \subseteq I(b)$. Then by definition, there exists some $x \in I(a)$ such that $bx = b$.

Now $b \in \mathcal{N}a \cap a\mathcal{N}$.

Write $b = \alpha a = a\beta$ where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{N}$.

Then $bI(a)a = b$.

Next

$$\begin{aligned} bI(a)d &= bI(a)a - bI(a)b \\ &= b - bI(a)b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Consider now

$$\begin{aligned} dI(a)b &= aI(a)b - bI(a)b \\ &= \alpha\beta - bI(a)b \\ &= b - b = 0 \end{aligned}$$

We thus have $bI(a)d = 0$ and $dI(a)b = 0$(i)

Then for any $x \in I(a)$ we have;

$$\begin{aligned} b + d = a &= axa \\ &= (b + d)x(b + d) \\ &= bxa + dx b + dx d \\ &= b + 0 + dx d \end{aligned}$$

This yields $dI(a)d = d\dots\dots\dots(ii)$

To show that $d\mathcal{N} \cap b\mathcal{N} = \{0\}$.

Let $bx = dy \in b\mathcal{N} \cap d\mathcal{N}$.

Multiplying both sides of (ii) by y on the right and using $bx = dy$ yields, $dI(a)bx = dy$

But from above we have that $dI(a)b = 0$ and so $dy = 0$ which clears the proof.

Similarly, we show that $\mathcal{N}b \cap \mathcal{N}d = \{0\}$.

Let $xb = yd \in \mathcal{N}b \cap \mathcal{N}d$. Multiplying both sides of (ii) on the left by y . We get:

$y dI(a)d = yd$. This proves that $xbI(a)d = yd$.

Since $bI(a)d = 0$, we obtain $yd = 0$ showing that $\mathcal{N}b \cap \mathcal{N}d = \{0\}$. □

Theorem 2.2. *Let $a, b \in R(\mathcal{N})$. Then $I(a) = I(b)$ if and only if $a = b$.*

Proof. From the construction, $\mathcal{N} = Z_L(\mathcal{N}) \cup \mathcal{N}^* \cup \{0\}$. Now, assume that $I(a) = I(b)$, we can write $a = b + d$ with $b\mathcal{N} \cap d\mathcal{N} = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}d \cap \mathcal{N}d = 0$. But $(b + d)\mathcal{N} = b\mathcal{N} \oplus d\mathcal{N}$. Since $I(a) = I(b)$, we have that $aI(b)a = \{a\}$ and $bI(a)b = \{b\}$ and therefore it follows that $\mathcal{N}a = \mathcal{N}b$ and $a\mathcal{N} = b\mathcal{N}$ which leads to $a\mathcal{N} = (b + d)\mathcal{N} = b\mathcal{N} \oplus d\mathcal{N}$ giving $d = 0$. Hence $a = b$ as desired. □

Next, we provide the analogue to the previous theorem by generalizing the case to reflexive inverses:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $a, b \in R(\mathcal{N})$. Then $Ref(a) = Ref(b)$ iff $a = b$*

Proof. Let $a_o \in Ref(a) = Ref(b)$. Since $a = 0$ if and only if $Ref(a) = 0$, assume that $a, b \neq 0$. Since $bRef(a)b = bRe(b)b = b$ and $Ref(a) = I(a)aI(a)$, we have that for any $t \in \mathcal{N}$. $b(a_o + t - a_oataa_o)a(a_o + t - a_oataa_o)b = b$. Replacing t by $(1 - a_oa)t$ and noting that $a(1 - a_oa) = 0$, we obtain successively $b(a_oa + (1 - a_oa)ta)(a_o + (1 - a_oa)t)b = b$ and $b(a_ob + (1 - a_oa)ta)(a_o)b = b$ and so $ba_ob + b(1 - a_oa)taa_ob = b$. Since $ba_ob = b$ gives $b(1 - a_oa)taa_ob = 0 \forall t \in \mathcal{N}$, this leads to $aa_ob(1 - a_oa)taa_ob(1 - a_oa) = 0 \forall t \in \mathcal{N}$. But we are guaranteed of semi-primeness of \mathcal{N} which then implies that $aa_ob(1 - a_oa) = 0$. Left multiplying by $a_o \in Ref(a)$, we get that $a_ob(1 - a_oa) = 0$ and hence since $a_o \in I(b)$, we conclude that $b(1 - a_oa) = 0$. Therefore we obtain that $\mathcal{N}b \subseteq \mathcal{N}a$ and $\mathcal{N}a \subseteq \mathcal{N}b$ which implies that $\mathcal{N}a = \mathcal{N}b$. □

3 Structures and Orders of Von-Neumann Regular Elements

Definition 3.1. *Let $(\mathcal{N}, +)$ be a group. The exponent of the group is the least common multiple of all the orders of the group elements.*

Remark 3.1. *Let N be a finite near-ring with identity 1 and n be the exponent of $(\mathcal{N}, +)$. Then $ord(1) = n$.*

Let \mathbb{Z}_n be the ring of integers modulo n . Then $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = \varphi(n)$, φ - being the Euler-Phi function. We now give a generalization of this result to an arbitrary case:

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathcal{N} be the near-ring from classes of near-rings in construction I and II and \mathcal{N}^* be as obtained in the constructions. Let n be the exponent of $(\mathcal{N}, +)$ and φ be the Euler's-Phi function. Then there is a subgroup of order $\varphi(n)$ contained in \mathcal{N}^* .

Proof. We use the fact that the identity $(1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{N}$ generates a subring of \mathcal{N} . Assume the usual $(+)$ and the multiplication (\cdot) defined on \mathcal{N} . Consider the cyclic group $\langle 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$, additively generated by 1 where $1 \equiv (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Then $l.1 = \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_l$ and $k.1 = \underbrace{1 + 1 + \dots + 1}_k$ are two elements of $\langle 1 \rangle$. Since 1 is an identity: $(l.1)(k.1) = (lk.1) \in \langle 1 \rangle$. Thus $S = (\langle 1 \rangle, +, \cdot)$ is a sub-near ring containing the identity. Indeed $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n : f(k.1) = [k]_n$ is a near-ring isomorphism. Thus $S \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$. Let S^* be the group of units of S . It follows from the canonical isomorphism above that S^* has $\varphi(n)$ invertible elements. Since S and \mathcal{N} have the same identity elements, an element $y \in S : y^{-1} \in S$ implies that $y^{-1} \in \mathcal{N}$. $\therefore S^* \subseteq \mathcal{N}^*$ and S^* is a subgroup of order $\varphi(n)$. \square

In the sequel, we recall some notions in Number Theory: Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$. For each natural number n , we have the following functions:

$\varphi(n) = \#\{x : 1 \leq x \leq n \text{ gcd}(x, n) = 1\}$, $\bar{w}(n)$ = number of distinct primes dividing n , $\tau(n)$ = number of the divisors of n and $\sigma(n)$ = sum of the divisors of n .
For example if $p = 2$ and $k = 2 \Rightarrow n = 4$, then: $\varphi(4) = 2$, $\bar{w}(4) = 1$, $\tau(4) = 3$ and $\sigma(4) = 1 + 2 + 4 = 7$

Theorem 3.1. ([4], Theorem 2) Let p be a prime integer and $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ then $a \in GN(p^k, p^k)$ is regular if $a^{p^k - p^{k-1} + 1} \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$
The element $a^{p^k - p^{k-1} + 1}$ is a Von Neumann inverse of a

Example 3.1. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x] / \langle x + 1 \rangle$. Then $\mathcal{N} = \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$. By definition, an element a is a member of $R(\mathcal{N})$ if and only if $a^{p^k - p^{k-1} + 1} \equiv a \pmod{p^k}$. Thus, if $a = \bar{3}$, then, $\bar{3}^{2^2 - 2^{2-1} + 1} \equiv \bar{3} \pmod{4}$ which implies that $(\bar{3})^3 \equiv \bar{3} \pmod{4}$
Therefore, $\bar{3}$ is a regular element and $(\bar{3})^3$ is a Von-Neumann inverse. So, the Von-Neumann inverses of $\bar{1}, \bar{3}$ are $\bar{1}, \bar{3}$ respectively

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^k, p^k)$. Then,

$$V(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} + 1 = \varphi(p^k) + 1.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^k, p^k)$ is zero-symmetric local, every element $a \in R(\mathcal{N})$ is either 0 or a unit. But $|\mathcal{N}^*| = p^{k-1} + 1$ and the zero element is unique, it follows from the arithmetic function formula that:

$$V(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1} + 1 = \varphi(p^k) + 1.$$

\square

Definition 3.2. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. We say that x is a unitary divisor of y if $x | y$ and $\text{gcd}(x, \frac{y}{x}) = 1$ and we write $x || y$.

The number of regular elements in \mathcal{N} can then be calculated using the unitary divisors of an integer $n = |\mathcal{N}|$

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^k, p^k)$. Then $V(\mathcal{N}) = \sum_{x || p^k} \varphi(x)$ and $V(\mathcal{N}) / \varphi(p^k) = \sum_{x || p^k} \frac{1}{\varphi(x)}$

Proof. In \mathcal{N} above $x = 1$ and $x = p^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p^k}$.
By definition, $\varphi(1) = 1$. But $\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} V(p^k) &= p^k - p^{k-1} + 1 \\ &= \varphi(p^k) + \varphi(1) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{V(p^k)}{\varphi(p^k)} &= \frac{p^k - p^{k-1} + 1}{p^k - p^{k-1}} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{p^k - p^{k-1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(1)} + \frac{1}{\varphi(p^k)} \end{aligned}$$

The summatory function:

$$\begin{aligned} K(p^k) &= \sum_{x|(p^k)} V(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k V(p^i) \\ &= V(1) + \sum_{i=1}^k V(p^i) \\ &= V(1) + \sum_{i=1}^k [(p^i - p^{i-1}) + 1] \\ &= 1 + (p + p^2 + \dots + p^k) - (1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^{k-1}) + k \end{aligned}$$

$$K(p^k) = p^k + k$$

□

Example 3.2. Consider $\mathcal{N} = GR(2^2, 2^2)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} V(2^2) &= \sum_{t|4} \varphi(t) \\ &= \varphi(1) + \varphi(4) \\ &= 1 + 2 = 3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the number of regular elements are 3.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{N} = GR(p^k, p^k)$ and $\sigma(p^k)$ be the sums of the divisors of p^k . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p^k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k p^i \text{ and} \\ V(p^k)\sigma(p^k) &= [p^k - p^{k-1}] \left[\sum_{i=0}^k p^i \right] \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V(p^k)\sigma(p^k) &= [p^k - p^{k-1}][\sum_{i=0}^k p^i] \\
 &= p^k(1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^k})(\sum_{i=1}^k p^i) \\
 &= p^k(1 - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^k})(1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^k) \\
 &= p^k[1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^k - \frac{1}{p} - 1 - p - \dots - p^{k-1} + \frac{1}{p^k} + \frac{1}{p^{k-1}} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{p} + 1] \\
 &= p^k[1 + p^k + p^{-2} + p^{-3} + \dots + p^{2-k} + p^{1-k} + p^k] \\
 &= p^k[1 + p^k + \sum_{i=2}^k p^{-i}] \\
 &= p^{2k}[1 + p^{-k} + \sum_{i=2}^k p^{-(k+i)}]
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{V(p^k)\sigma(p^k)}{p^{2k}} = 1 + p^{-k} + \sum_{i=2}^k p^{-(k+i)}$$

as required □

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{N} = GR(p^k, p^k)$. Then $\sigma(p^k) + \varphi(p^k) \leq p^k \tau(p^k)$

Proof. Let $k = 1$. Then $\sigma(p^k) = p + 1$ and $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ so that $\sigma(p) + \varphi(p) = 2p$. Since p has only two divisors 1 and p , this implies that $2p = p(p\tau)$. Thus $\sigma(p) + \varphi(p) = 2p$. Now suppose that $k > 1$, then,

$$\sigma(p^k) = \sum_{i=1}^k p^i$$

and $\varphi(p^k) = p^k - p^{k-1}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(p^k) + \varphi(p^k) &= 1 + p + \dots + p^k + p^k + p^{k-1} \\
 &= 2p^k + p^{k-2} + \dots + p + 1 < (k + 1)p^k
 \end{aligned}$$

But p^k has $(k + 1)$ divisors so that $(k + 1)p^k = p^k \tau(p^k)$ thus $\sigma(p^k) + \varphi(p^k) < p^k \tau(p^k)$ □

Example 3.3. Let $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/\langle x + 1 \rangle = GR(2^2, 2^2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma(2^2) + \varphi(2^2) &\leq 2^2 \tau(2^2) \\
 \Rightarrow \sigma(4) + \varphi(4) &\leq 4\tau 4 \\
 \Rightarrow 7 + 2 &\leq 4 \times 3.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the result of $\sigma(p^k) + \varphi(p^k) < p^k \tau(p^k)$ holds.

Proposition 3.3. Consider $\mathcal{N} = GR(p^{kr}, p^k)$ where $kr = n > 1$. Then $\sigma(p^n) + V(p^n) < p^n \tau(p^n)$

Proof. $1 + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{p^2} + \dots + p^n < n = (n + 1) - 1 = \tau(p^n) - 1$ Now

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(p^n)}{p^n} &= \frac{1 + p + p^2 + \dots + p^n}{p^n} < \tau(p^n) - 1 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma(p^n) &< \sigma p^n [\tau(p^n) - 1] \\ &= p^n \tau(p^n) - p^n \end{aligned}$$

Since $V(p^n) < p^n$, we clear that $\sigma(p^n) + V(p^n) < p^n \tau(p^n)$. However, if $n = 1$, then $\sigma(p) + V(p) > p\tau(p)$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N} &= \mathbb{Z}_2[x] / \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle : p = 2, r = 2, k = 1, n = kr > 1 \\ &= \{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{x}, \overline{x+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

We notice that,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(p) &= \sigma(2) = 1 + 2 = 3 \\ V(p) &= V(2) = 2 \\ \tau(p) &= \tau(2) = 2 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma(p) + V(p) &> p\tau(p) \text{ i.e. } 5 > 4. \end{aligned}$$

But, if $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_2[x] / \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle \cong GR(p^{kr}, p^k), k = 2, r = 2, p = 2$,
 $\sigma(p^k) = \sigma(4) = 2, V(4) = 4, p^k \tau(p^k) = 4\tau(4) = 4 \times 3 = 12$

Therefore $\sigma(p^k) + V(p^k) < p^k \tau(p^k) (6 < 12)$ which justifies the previous result. □

Lemma 3.1. Let $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^{kr}, p^k) \oplus \mathcal{M}$ where p is prime k and r are positive integers and \mathcal{M} is a h -dimensional module over \mathcal{N} . Then if $h = 0$,

- (i) $R(\mathcal{N}) \cong (1 + Z(\mathcal{N})) \cup \{0\}$ and
- (ii) $|R(\mathcal{N})| = (p^{(k-1)r})(p^r - 1) + 1$

Proof. Let $a \in R(\mathcal{N}) \cong (1 + Z(\mathcal{N}))$. Then a is invertible or 0. But \mathcal{N} is local means that a is regular i.e. $a \in R(\mathcal{N})$.

Thus $R(\mathcal{N}) \subseteq [\langle a \rangle \times 1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \cup \{0\} \dots \dots \dots (i)$

Conversely, let $a \in R(\mathcal{N})$. Then by definition \exists an element $b \in R(\mathcal{N})$ such that $a = a^2b \Rightarrow a(1 - ab) = 0$.

If $a \in (\mathcal{N}^*)$ then $1 - ab = 0 \Rightarrow ab = 1$.

Hence b is a Von Neumann inverse of a . If is not a member of \mathcal{N}^* then ab is not a member of \mathcal{N}^* but $ab = aabb = a^2b^2 = abab = (ab)^2$.

Since \mathcal{N} commutes $\Rightarrow ab = (ab)^2 \Rightarrow ab(1 - ab) = 0$.

Now $\Rightarrow 1 - ab$ is a unit and $ab = 0$ so that $a = 0$ because b is its Von Neumann inverse.

$\{[\langle a \rangle \times 1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq R(\mathcal{N}) \dots \dots \dots (ii)$

Combining (i) and (ii) gives

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathcal{N}) &\cong [1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \cup \{0\} \\ &= \langle a \rangle \times [1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \cup \{0\} \end{aligned}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}^* &= (\mathcal{N}^*/1 + Z(\mathcal{N})) \times 1 + Z(\mathcal{N}) \\ &\cong \langle a \rangle \times [1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \\ &= \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}} \times [1 + Z(\mathcal{N})] \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |[1 + Z(\mathcal{N})]| &= |Z(\mathcal{N})| \\ &= p^{(k-1)r} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|\mathcal{N}^*| = (p^r - 1)p^{(k-1)r}$

But $R(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{N}^* \cup \{0\} \mid |R(\mathcal{N})| = (p^r - 1)p^{(k-1)r} + 1$ as required. \square

Theorem 3.5. Let \mathcal{N} be the near-ring constructed and $R(\mathcal{N})$ be the set of all the regular elements. Then

$$R(\mathcal{N}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_{2^{r-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k-2}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^{k-1}}^{r-1} \times (\mathbb{Z}_2)^h \cup \{0\} & p = 2; \\ \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}^r \times (\mathbb{Z}_p^r)^h \cup \{0\} & p \neq 2 : Char\mathcal{N} = p^k : k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $char \mathcal{N} = p^k : k \geq 3$. We provide the general case using $p = odd$.

Notice that every $l = 1, \dots, r; (1 + p\tau_l)^{p^{k-1}} = 1$

$$(1 + \tau_l u_1)^{p^k} = 1, \dots, (1 + p\tau_l u_1 + \tau_l u_2 + \dots + \tau_l u_n)^{p^k} = 1.$$

Let $a_l, b_{1l}, \dots, b_{hl} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $a_l \leq p^{k-1}, b_{il} \leq p^k : 1 \leq i \leq h$. We notice that

$$\prod_{l=1}^r \{(1 + p\tau_l)^{a_l}\} \cdot \prod_{l=1}^r \{(1 + \tau_l u_1)^{b_{1l}}\} \cdot \prod_{l=1}^r \{(1 + \tau_l u_1 + \tau_l u_2 + \dots + \tau_l u_n)\} = 1$$

which implies that $a_l = p^{k-1}, b_{1l} = p^k = \dots = b_{hl} = p^k$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} T_l &= \langle \{(1 + p\tau_l)^a \mid a = 1, \dots, p^{k-1}\} \rangle \\ S_{1l} &= \langle \{(1 + \tau_l u_1)^{b_1} \mid b_1 = 1, \dots, p^k\} \rangle \\ &\vdots \\ S_{hl} &= \langle \{(1 + \tau_l u_1 + \dots + \tau_l u_n)^{b_h} \mid b_h = 1, \dots, p^k\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

The sets defined are all cyclic subgroups of the group $1 + Z(\mathcal{N})$ and they are of the indicated orders. Furthermore, the intersection of any pair of the cyclic subgroups indicated gives an identity group and the product of the $(h + 1)r$ subgroups gives:

$$|T_l \times S_{1l} \times S_{hl}| = p^{k((h+1)r)-1} \text{ exhausting } 1 + Z(\mathcal{N}).$$

Thus $1 + Z(\mathcal{N}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}^r \times (\mathbb{Z}_p^r)^h$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathcal{N}) &= \langle \alpha \rangle \times (1 + Z(\mathcal{N})) \cup \{0\} \\ &= \mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^{k-1}}^r \times (\mathbb{Z}_p^r)^h \cup \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 3.6. Let $\mathcal{N} = R_0 \oplus \mathcal{M}$ where $r = 1$ and p -prime, $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If $\mathcal{M} = R_0/pR_0 \oplus \dots \oplus R_0/pR_0$. Let $r_0 \in R(R_0)$ then, its Von-Neumann inverse is

$$r_0^{-1} = r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1} \text{ and } (r_0, \dots, r_h)^{-1} = (r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1}, -r_1 t_0 r_0^{-1}, \dots, -r_h t_0 r_0^{-1})$$

Proof. We know that if $a \in R_0 = GN(p^{kr}, p^k)$ and $a \in R_0$ then, the Von-Neumann inverse of a is given by: $a^{-1} \equiv a^{p^{(k-1)r}(p^r-1)} \pmod{p^k}$ therefore

$$r_0^{-1} \equiv r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1}$$

as required in step 1

Now let $(t_0, \dots, t_h) = (r_0, \dots, r_h)^{-1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (r_0, r_1, \dots, r_h) &= (r_0, \dots, r_h)^2(t_0, \dots, t_h) \\ &= (r_0^2, r_0 r_1 + r_1 r_0, \dots, r_0 r_h + r_h r_0)(t_0, \dots, t_h) \\ &= (r_0^2 t_0, r_0^2 t_1 + (r_0 r_1 + r_1 r_0)t_0, \dots, r_0^2 t_h + (r_0 r_h + r_h r_0)t_0) \end{aligned}$$

therefore $r_0 = r_0^2 t_0 \Rightarrow r_0 t_0 = 1 \Rightarrow t_0 = r_0^{-1} = r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1}$

For $i = 1, \dots, h, r_i = r_0^2 t_i + (r_0 r_i + r_i r_0)t_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow r_0^2 t_i &= r_i - (r_0 r_i + r_i r_0)t_0 \\ \Rightarrow t_i &= \frac{r_i - 2r_0 r_i t_0}{r_0^2} (\because \mathcal{N} \text{ commutative}) \\ \Rightarrow t_i &= \frac{r_i}{r_0^2} - \frac{2r_i t_0}{r_0} \end{aligned}$$

But $t_0 = r_0^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow t_i &= \frac{r_i}{r_0^2} - \frac{2r_i}{r_0^2} \\ &= -\frac{r_i}{r_0^2} = -r_i r_0^{-2} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore t_1 = -r_1 r_0^{-2} \dots t_h = -r_h r_0^{-2}$
 $\Rightarrow (r_0, \dots, r_h)^{-1} = (r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1}, \dots, -r_h r_0^{-2})$ as required □

Example 3.4. $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9/3\mathbb{Z}_9 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_9/3\mathbb{Z}_9$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (2, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{2})^{-1} &= (2^{9-3-1}, (-2)(5)^2, \dots, (-2)(5)^2) \\ &= (5, \bar{1}, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$(5, \bar{1}, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{1})(2, \bar{2}, \dots, \bar{2}) = (1, \bar{0}, \dots, \bar{0})$$

Example 3.5. Consider $\mathcal{N} = GN(p^{kr}, p^k) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x] / \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle$ where $p = 2, k = 1, r = 2$.

Now $G\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, x, x + 1\}$ and $R(\mathcal{N}) = \{0, 1, x, x + 1\}$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = GN(4, 2) \oplus GN(4, 2)$ with $GN(4, 2)$ as defined above, then:

$$\mathcal{N} = \{0, 1, x, x + 1\} \oplus \{0, 1, x, x + 1\}$$

$= \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, x), (0, x + 1), (1, 0), (1, 1), (1, x), (1, x + 1), (x, 0), (x, 1), (x, x), (x, x + 1), (x + 1, 0), (x + 1, 1), (x + 1, x), (x + 1, x + 1)\}$
 So $|\mathcal{N}| = 16$, $Z_L(\mathcal{N}) = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, x), (0, x + 1)\}$. Since \mathcal{N} is an extension of $GN(4, 2)$,

$$|R(\mathcal{N})| = 13 = (p^r - 1)(p^{kr}) + 1$$

Applying $(r_0, r_1)^{-1} = (r_0^{p^k - p^{k-1} - 1}, -r_1 r_0^{-2})$, we can find the Von Neumann inverses of all the members of $R(\mathcal{N})$.

For instance,

$$R(\mathcal{N}) = \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, x), (1, x + 1), (x, 0), (x, 1), (x, x), (x, x + 1), (x + 1, 0), (x + 1, 1), (x + 1, x), (x + 1, x + 1)\}.$$

$$\text{So } (1, 0)^{-1} = (1^{2^1 - 2^0 - 1}, -01^{-1}) = (1^2, 0) = (1, 0), (x, x)^{-1} = (x^{-2}, x^{-1})$$

This can be done in the same manner for the other members of $R(\mathcal{N})$. The next result gives the structures and orders of the automorphism groups of the regular elements, $R(\mathcal{N})$.

Theorem 3.7. Let \mathcal{N} be a near-ring of construction $R(\mathcal{N})$ be the set of all the regular elements including 0. Then if

$\text{Aut} : R(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow R(\mathcal{N})$ we have that

$$\text{Aut}(R(\mathcal{N})) \cong [(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{r-1}})^* \times GL_{(k-1)r}(GN(p^{kr}, p^k))] \times GL_{hr}(GN(p^{kr}, p^k)) \cup \Delta$$

Theorem 3.8. Let \mathcal{N} be a zero symmetric local near-rings from the class of near-rings of the construction. Then:

$$|\text{Aut}(R(\mathcal{N}))| = [\varphi(p^r - 1) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{(k-1)r} (p^k - p^{k-1}) \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{hr} (p^k - p^{k-1})] + 1$$

when $\text{char}\mathcal{N} = p^k : k \geq 3$

4 Conclusion

This study was set up with an aim of determining and classifying the regular elements and Von-Neumann inverses of the zero symmetric local near-rings with n -nilpotent radical of Jordan ideals admitting Frobenius derivations. The study gave a general construction representing the classes of the near-rings under investigations whose algebraic structures assumed commutation checks attributed the Theorems of Asma and Inzamam in [8]. The structures and orders of $R(\mathcal{N})$ were then characterized in a case by case basis using the Fundamental Theorem of Finitely Generated Abelian Groups and the properties of the general linear groups in the endomorphism of $R(\mathcal{N})$ respectively. The structures of $V(|R(\mathcal{N})|)$ followed asymptotic patterns proposed by Osama and Emad [4] using the properties of $V(n)$, $\tau(n)$, $\bar{\omega}(n)$, $\sigma(n)$ and $K(n)$. The results reveal unique algebraic structures.

Competing Interests

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

- [1] Pilz GF. Near-Rings. The Theory and Its Applications, 2nd ed.; North-Holland: Amsterdam, The Netherlands; New York, NY, USA. 1983;23.

- [2] Oduor MO, Ojiema MO, Eliud M. Units of commutative completely primary finite rings of characteristic pn . *International Journ. of Algebra*. 2013;7(6):259-266.
- [3] Osba A, Henriksen M, Osama A. Combining Local and Von-Neumann Regular Rings. *Comm. Algebra*. 2004;32:2639 - 2653.
- [4] Osama A, Emad AO. On the regular elements in \mathbb{Z}_n , *Turk J. Math*. 2008;32:31-39.
- [5] Osba A, Henriksen M, Osama A, Smith F. The Maximal Regular Ideals of some commutative Rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae*. 2006;47(1):1-10.
- [6] Oduor MO, Omamo AL, Musoga C. On the Regular Elements of Rings in which the product of any two zero divisors lies in the Galois subring. *IJPAM*. 2013;86:7-18.
- [7] Abujabal HAS, Obaid MA, Khan MA. On Structure and commutativity of Near-rings. *Universidad Catolica del Norte Antofagasta-Chile*. 2000;19(2):113-124.
- [8] Asma A, Inzamam UH. Commutativity of a 3-Prime near Ring Satisfying Certain Differential Identities on Jordan Ideals. *MDPI*. 2020;1:1-11.
- [9] Akin OA. IFP Ideals in Near-rings. *HaceHepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*. 2020;39(1):17-21.
- [10] Ali A, Bell HE, Miyan P. Generalized derivations in rings. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci*. 2013;Article ID 170749:5.

© 2023 Abuga et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here (Please copy paste the total link in your browser address bar)

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/94127>