People Profit Planet

Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies ISSN: 2519-089X & ISSN (E): 2519-0326 Volume 9: Issue 2 June 2023 Journal homepage: www.publishing.globalcsrc.org/jbsee

Volume and Issues Obtainable at Center for Sustainability Research and Consultancy

## Supplier Relationship Management Practices Procurement Ethics and Supply Chain Performance in County Governments

**Otieno Kevin**, MBA Scholar, School of Business and Economics, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya

\*Jackline Akoth Odero PhD. Lecturer, School of Business and Economics, Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya

\*Corresponding author's email: jacklineodero@gmail.com

*Corresponding author's email:	Jackineodero@gman.com
ARTICLE DETAILS	ABSTRACT
History Revised format: May 2023 Available Online: Jun 2023 Keywords Procurement Ethics, Supply Chain Performance, Supplier Relationship Management Practices.	<ul> <li>Purpose: The broad objective was to establish the moderating effect of Procurement ethics on the relationship between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain performance of selected Kenyan County Governments.</li> <li>Design/Methodology/Approach: This study utilized descriptive survey research design and targeted 112 procurement staff. Stratified and simple random techniques were used. Structured questionnaires were employed for data collection. For data</li> </ul>
Jel Classification M0, M1	<ul> <li>analysis descriptive as well as inferential statistics were utilized.</li> <li>Findings: Findings revealed that Procurement ethics had a significant moderating effect on the association between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain performance of Kenyan Selected County Governments.</li> <li>Implications/Originality/Value: The study makes a contribution on the critical role procurement ethics plays in the link between supplier relationship management practices and performance of supply chain. County governments should ensure procurement ethics such as integrity, accountability and transparency are adhered to. The findings may guide in the development and implementation of procurement ethics policies.</li> </ul>
OPEN ACCESS	© 2023 The authors, under a Creative Commons Attribution-

NonCommercial 4.0

**Recommended citation:** Kevin, O. and Odero, J. A. (2023). Supplier Relationship Management Practices, Procurement Ethics and Supply Chain Performance in County Governments. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 9 (2), 63-72.

#### Introduction

According to Awasthi and Kannan (2016) supplier relations has become an area of interest in strategic supply chain management. Supplier relationship management is beneficial to an organization as it aids in improving product quality and lowering lead times (Mitra & Datta, 2014). According to Forkmann, Henneberg, Naude and Mitrega (2016) management of supplier relations enhances supplier engagement such that the end users' priorities are known and suppliers get to know how to attain the priorities. Management of supplier relations is highly integrated thus

seeking to address both buyers and suppliers side (Forslund, 2015).

A study by Moore (2010) found that supplier relationship practices affected procurement performance in Japan's automotive industries. Supply chain performance and supplier relationship management have a key role on the general organizational performance (Gadde, Amolo & Gordon, 2010). In South Africa, supplier relations in public sector has been curtailed by laws thus limiting the cooperation level. Moreover, short term contracts are given to suppliers and such contracts expire even before the suppliers enjoy full benefits (Naude, Ambe & Kling, 2013). According to Akenroyeet and Aseka (2012) supplier relationships have yielded much in organizations in relation to returns. Similarly, Courtsen and Felde (2015) noted that supplier relationship improved performance of some given firms.

Studies done in Kenya have found that supplier relationship management (SRM) impacts on performance (Mumelo, Selfano & Onditi, 2017; Mwangi & Muli, 2020). Furthermore, Kosgei and Gitau (2016) confirmed that supplier relationship influenced procurement competitiveness in in terms of service quality, time management, innovativeness in supply chain and price negotiation ability. The Kenyan system of governance has the national government and county governments. The study bases its argument on the devolved systems that undertake one on one procurement activities. Limited studies have been carried out on public procurement SRM on especially within county governments. This study aims to significantly contribute insights in this scarce area of study in Selected County Governments in Kenya's Nyanza Region.

#### **Problem Statement**

According to World Bank, performance of supply chain has declined resulting to a 4.7% decrease in the global GDP (WB, 2013). According to Owalla (2012) alleged corruption cases to the tune of 50% in devolved governments have been linked to supplier relations. Furthermore, Selebwa and Moronge (2018) noted that poor performance in regards to procurement function, was a common problem facing county governments. The procurement Act provides guidelines for supplier relationship although there is still the challenge of poor relations with the suppliers including opportunistic tendencies as well as distrust resulting to value loss (PPRA, 2020).

Studies have established that supplier relationship management impacts on performance (Al-Abdallah, Abdallah & Hamdan, 2014; Mwangi & Muli, 2020; Opaleye, Ojelade & Aremu, 2020). On the contrary, a study by kiplagat and kiarie (2015) established that the association between SRM and performance was insignificant. Further majority of the studies focused on the direct association between supplier relationship management and performance without considering moderators. This study will therefore analyze the moderating effect of Procurement ethics on the relationship between supplier relationship management practice and supply chain performance in County Governments.

#### **Objective of the Study**

To establish the moderating effect of Procurement ethics on the relationship between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain performance in County Governments.

#### **Research Hypothesis**

H<sub>0</sub>: Procurement ethics has no significant moderating effect on the relationship between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain Performance in County Governments.

#### Literature Review Theoretical Framework Social Exchange Theory

Supplier relationship management is guided by Social Exchange Theory opined by Emerson (1976). The theory asserts that interactions generate obligations on the part of parties interacting. In an organization employees relate with each other to ignite rewards and avoid penalties. This insinuates that employees benefit mutually basing on the engagement terms (Cropanzano & Mitchell, 2005). Individuals engage freely on basis of social exchanges anchored on norms, reciprocity, exchanged resources and relationship types that have developed over time. County governments therefore build its relationship roots on social exchange theory.

#### **Institutional Theory**

Meyer and Rowan (1977) contended that the surrounding under which an organization functions improves performance. Bouaine and Hrichi (2019) alludes that main elements of institutional theory are attached to performance of an organization. It hugely draws and banks on public procurement Act activities. The organizational environment will determine the supplier trust, the supplier development, evaluation process and how information would be shared. The theory explains procurement ethics conduct, standards, practices and new forms of organization. Institutional model is vital for this study in that it clearly explains out the policy function of Public Procurement ethics and the tenets of supplier relationships.

#### **Conceptual Review**

### **Supplier Relationship Management Practices**

Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) entails developing as well as managing associations including buyer-supplier cooperation (Schuh *et al.*, 2014). SRM is needed by firms for gaining competitive advantage (Tran, 2015). Better supplier relations contributes to an increase in innovation capacity(Courtsen & Felde, 2015). SRM includes practices such as Supplier segmentation, supplier information sharing, lead time, duration of relationship, supplier selection, evaluation, collaboration, development, supplier management (Al-Abdallah, Abdallah & Hamdan, 2014; Mumelo, Selfano & Onditi, 2017; Mwangi & Muli, 2020; Onyango, 2015; Park *et al.*, 2010). In this study aspects of supplier relationship management included information sharing, trust management, supplier selection and evaluation and supplier development. According to Khan and Siddiqui, (2018) information sharing entails exchange of data between parties such as the suppliers and buyers in given firms. Supplier Trust Management Practice entails the loyalty, goodwill and supplier competence that generates organization performance (Mungai, 2014). Supplier evaluation entails the procedural approaches undertaken to ensure the supply chain process is effective (Mungai, 2014). Supplier Development Management Practice is the energy put in place by a company to improve performance standards of suppliers (Ochieng, 2014).

### **Procurement Ethics**

This is a purchasing and supplies policy behavioral approach that has a stipulated conduct (Ochieng, 2014). Studies have shown that procurement ethics improve supply chain performance (Onyinkwa, 2015; Machoka, 2016). Adoption of ethical approach in procurement is vital and implementing it makes the firm to realize benefits attributed to moral behaviour. This calls for united efforts by all stakeholder to see that the procurement process follows the due legal requirements. Furthermore upright employee behavior should be monitored to ensure professionalism is at a higher level for all procurement staff. This will ensure the organization gains reputation in the global sector and hence supplier trust among key supplier relationship practice will be practicable and realistic (Onyinkwa, 2015). Indicators of procurement ethics used in this study were integrity, accountability and transparency.

#### **Supply Chain Performance**

According to Hohenstein, Feisel and Hartmann (2014) supply chain performance (SCP) refers to an extension of supply chain activities that enables an organization to meet the end users requirements such as availing the products and on time delivery of products. Indicators of supply chain performance in this study were efficiency and effectiveness, quality improvement and customer satisfaction.

# **Empirical Review on Supplier Relationship Management Practice, Procurement Ethics and Supply Chain Performance**

A study conducted in manufacturing firms of Japan, Korea, USA, and Italy on supplier relationship management and competitive performance and established that supplier partnership/development and reduction of supplier lead time significantly impacted on competitive performance (Al-Abdallah, Abdallah & Hamdan, 2014). A study done in Nigeria's publicly traded food and beverage companies proved that methods of supplier relationship management influenced performance (Opaleye, Ojelade & Aremu, 2020).

Kiplagat and Kiarie (2015) studied the effect supply chain performance had on supply chain performance at a Kenyan state corporation. The study focused on supplier evaluation and selection, supplier performance measurement, supplier identification and supplier relationship management and the findings revealed that supplier evaluation and selection and performance management were significant while supplier identification and relationship management were insignificant.

Supplier relationship management with specific focus on trust, mutual goals, communication and commitment was positively linked to performance of Kenya airways (Kosgei & Gitau, 2016). Management of supplier relations through sharing of information, lead time and duration of the relationship positively and significantly influenced small scale enterprises performance in Kenya (Mumelo, Selfano & Onditi, 2017). Mwangi and Muli (2020) investigated the influence supplier relationship management had on Kenyan manufacturing firm's performance. The study was done in Food and Beverage firms. The study revealed that supplier segmentation, information flow, collaboration as well as supplier development positively and significantly influenced performance thus implying that supplier relationship management is a determinant of organizational performance. Using descriptive research design, Onyango (2020) conducted a study in Kenyan alcoholic beverage companies on the effect SRM had on supply chain performance and noted that supplier collaboration and supplier selection and evaluation significantly affected supply chain performance. However supplier development was not significant. Data was collected using close ended questionnaire. From the reviewed literature it can be noted that studies have been done on the effect of SRM have on performance. Most studies focused on the direct effect without taking into consideration moderators.

There's an array of studies on the effect of procurement ethics on performance for instance studies by Ndolo and Njagi (2014), Nato, Miroga and Otinga (2021) and Odero and Machuki (2021) done in the water sector and County government respectively which established that procurement ethics influenced performance. Syengo (2015) explored the procurement ethical practices affecting public procurement at KRA and found implementation of procurement ethical conduct had a positive significant influence on public procurement. Similarly, Machoka (2016) found that procurement ethics enhanced project completion rate, better funds utilization and also reduced poverty levels. The studies have focused on direct effect of ethical practices. However, this study focused on moderating effect of procurement ethics on the relationship between supplier relationship management practice and supply chain performance.

### **Research Methodology**

The study utilized descriptive survey research approach. The study targeted 112 respondents

(procurement staff) in County governments located in Kenya's Nyanza region. Specifically Migori, Kisumu and Homabay county governments. The sampling techniques used in the study were stratified and also simple random.Yamane's formula was used to get the sample size which was 88. Structured questionnaires were then used to collect data. Piloting of the study was conducted in Kakamega County government whereby 9 respondents who were procurement staff were selected. Reliability was tested using cronbach alpha test. Content validity was utilized to test validity whereby the questionnaire was ascertained by a procurement manager and academic supervisors. Both descriptive as well as inferential statistics were utilized for data analysis. Descriptive statistics used were mean and standard deviation while as for Inferential statistics hierarchical regression was employed. Results presentation was in tabular form. The following hierarchical regression model was applied:

Y =  $\beta_0+\beta_1X_1+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3+\beta_4X_4+\epsilon$ Y =  $\beta_0+\beta_1X_1+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3+\beta_4X_4+M+\epsilon$ Y =  $\beta_0+\beta_1X_1+\beta_2X_2+\beta_3X_3+\beta_4X_4+M+\beta_1X_1M+\beta_2X_2M+\beta_3X_3M+\beta_4X_4M+\epsilon$ Where; Y = supply chain Performance X<sub>1</sub> is Supplier information sharing management practice X<sub>2</sub> is Supplier trust management practice X<sub>3</sub> is Supplier evaluation management practice X<sub>4</sub> is Supplier development management practice  $\beta_0$  = the constant B<sub>1</sub>- 4 = the regression coefficient M = Moderator (Procurement Ethics)  $\epsilon$  = Error term

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### **Rate of response**

The response rate attained was 86.4% which is considered desirable. Thus out of 88 questionnaires issued, 76 were were duly filled and returned.

#### **Reliability Results**

Reliability tests the accuracy of the results that were given during data collection. The Cronbach's Alpha ( $\alpha$ ) should be more than 0.7 and this was attained for all the variables as indicated in table 1 as follows;

Table 1: Reliability test results					
Variables	Cronbach Alpha	Items			
Supplier relationship management	0. 812	5			
Procurement Ethics	0.803	5			
Performance of Supply Chain	0.837	5			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	P 1 1 (2022)				

Source: Research data (2022)

#### **Descriptive Statistics for study variables**

Descriptive statistics was conducted to ascertain respondents agreement level in regards to statements on the study variables. The results are indicated in table 2;

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for study variables					
Statement Mean Standard deviation					
Supplier relationship management practice	3.68	0.96			
Procurement ethics	3.63	1.06			
Supply chain performance	3.65	0.89			

Source: Research data (2022)

According to table 2 above on descriptive results most respondents were in agreement with statements on supplier relationship management (M=3.68, SD=0.96), procurement ethics (M=3.63, SD=1.06) and supply chain performance (M=3.65, SD=0.89). This implies that the county governments engage in supplier relationship management practices and that procurement ethics is a common practice.

## Inferential statistics Results

## **Results on hierarchical Regression Analysis**

The analysis was done to examine the moderating effect of procurement ethics on Supplier Relationship Management practices and supply chain performance. The results are indicated in Table 4.1.

Ta	ble 4.1: ] Summa									
Model	R	$\mathbb{R}^2$	Adjusted	Std. Error of		Ch	ange S	Statistic	2S	
			$\mathbb{R}^2$	the Estimate	R <sup>2</sup> Change	F Change	df1	df2	Sig.	F
					-	-			Change	
1	.810a	.657	.560	.24480	.657	133.603	4	71	.000	
2	.893b	.797	.791	.31632	.140	7.893	4	71	.000	
3	.901c	.812	.804	.23693	.015	7.918	1	71	.006	
				Source <sup>,</sup> Fiel	d data (2022)					

Source: Field data (2022)

In the first model, in table 4.1 above, the  $R^2$  is 0. 657 which implies that 65.7% of the changes in supply chain performance (Dependent Variable) could be attributed to supplier relationship management practices (Independent Variable). On the second model, after introduction of procurement ethics, the  $R^2$  increased to 0.797 which implied that 79.7% of the changes in supply chain performance (Dependent Variable) could be attributed to supplier relationship management practices (Independent Variable) could be attributed to supplier relationship management practices (Independent Variable). As for the third model where the interactive term(interaction between supplier relationship management practices and procurement ethics) was introduced the  $R^2$  further increased to 0.812.

Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
	Regression	24.020	4	8.007	133.603	.000
1	Residual	6.113	71	.060		
	Total	30.132	75			
	Regression	24.463	4	6.116	108.948	.000
2	Residual	5.670	71	056		
	Total	30.132	75			
	Regression	25.463	4	6.116	105.057	.000
3	Residual	4.670	71	.056		
	Total	30.132	75			

Source: Field data (2022)

Table 4.2 provides ANOVA results which shows a statistically significant overall model for model 1,2 and 3.

				Standardized		
		Unstandardized Coefficients		Coefficients		
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	Т	Sig.
1	(Constant)	.769	.174		4.422	.000
	S inform sharing	.309	.062	.273	5.746	.000
	S trust mgt	.478	.076	.454	6.389	.000
	S evaluation mgt	.342	.067	.356	5.072	.000
	S development mgt	.341	.066	.354	5.070	.000
2	(Constant)	.108	.354		.305	.001
	S inform sharing	.358	.063	.236	4.929	.000
	S trust mgt	.484	.073	.448	6.504	.000
	S evaluation mgt	.488	.084	.508	5.847	.000
	S development mgt management	.499	.082	.501	5.801	.000
	Procurement Ethics	.486	.173	.187	2.810	.001
3	(Constant)	.109	.354		.305	.001
C	Supplier information sharing	.360	.063	.236	4.929	.000
	Supplier trust management	.571	.073	.448	5.504	.000
	Supplier evaluation	.489	.083	.508	5.846	.000
	management supplier development management	.498	.081	.501	4.801	.000
	Procurement Ethics SIS x PE	.487	.172	.186	2.790	.001
		0.410	0.071	0.241	4.991	0.00
	STM x PE	0.592	0.081	0.451	5.701	0.001
	SEM x PE	0.491	0.089	0.510	5.941	0.00
	SDM x PE	0.501	0.088	0.515	4.900	0.00

#### Table 4.3:Coefficient

Source: Research Data (2022)

In model one in table 4.3 above, supplier relationship management practices (supplier information sharing, supplier trust management, supplier evaluation management and supplier development management) positively and significantly influences supply chain performance. In model two on introduction of procurement ethics, findings show that both supplier relationship management practices and procurement ethics positively and significantly influences supply chain performance. In model three regarding the interaction term findings show that the interaction between supplier relationship management practices and procurement ethics positively and significantly influences supply chain performance. Hence procurement ethics moderates the relationship between supplier relationship management practices and performance of supply chain.

Substituting the regression model;

 $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + M + \beta_1 X_1 M + \beta_2 X_2 M + \beta_3 X_3 M + \beta_4 X_4 M + \varepsilon \text{ then becomes};$ Y=0.109+0.308+0.571+0.489+0.498S+0.487+0.410+0.592+0.491+0.501+ $\varepsilon$ 

We therefore reject the null hypothesis which states that Procurement ethics has no significant moderating effect on the relationship between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain Performance.

The findings agree with Syengo (2015) who explored the procurement ethical practices affecting public procurement at KRA and found implementation of procurement ethical conduct had a positive significant influence on public procurement. Similarly, Nato, Miroga and Otinga (2021) proved that procurement ethics influenced procurement performance. Machoka (2016) also found that procurement ethics enhanced project performance.

#### Conclusion

The study concludes that Procurement ethics moderates the association between supplier relationship management practices and supply chain performance. This indicated that Procurement ethics moderates the relationship through integrity, accountability and transparency and results to increase in supply chain performance in terms of efficiency, effectiveness, quality improvement and customer satisfaction. The study makes a contribution on the critical role procurement ethics plays in the link between supplier relationship management and performance of supply chain. The government including policy makers such as the public procurement regulatory authority may be informed by the study findings on the importance of procurement ethics and thus develop and implement policies on the same.

#### Recommendations

To improve supply chain performance, County Governments should embrace supplier relationship management practices such as Supplier information sharing, Supplier trust management, Supplier evaluation management and supplier development practice. The study further recommends for provision of well stipulated ethical conduct guidelines for procurement staff to enable them enhance performance and this could be achieved through integrity, accountability and transparency. The study also recommends for creation of awareness on procurement ethics through seminars and workshops.

#### **Suggestions for further research**

Current study was done in Nyanza region Kenya. Further studies can be done in other regions in Kenya. Other studies should be done using other moderating variables such as policies and regulations. Similar studies could be undertaken in other organizations such as universities, hospitals and other parastatals as this study focused on County government.

#### References

- Akenroyeet, K., Aseka, J. (2012). Supplier Selection Criteria and Performance of Manufacturing Firms Listed in the Nairobi Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Contemporary Business Studies*, 5(11), 216-236.
- Al-Abdallah., G. M., Abdallah, A. B., & Hamdan, K. B. (2014). The impact of supplier relationship management on competitive performance of manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Business and Management* 9(2), 192-202.
- Awasthi, A., & Kannan, G. (2016). Green supplier development program selection using NGT and VIKOR under fuzzy environment. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 91 (1), 100-108.
- Babbie, E. R. (2011). The Practice of Social Research. (11thEd.). Belmont C.A. Wadsworth.
- Bouaine, E. Hrichi, R., (2019). The Practice of Social Research. (11thEd.). Belmont C.A. Wadsworth.
- Courtsen, Y. & Felde, J., (2015). Structured Methodology for Supplier Selection and Evaluation in a Supply Chain. *Information Sciences*, 181(9), 1651-1670.
- Cropanzo, R., & Mitchell, M. (2005). Social Exchange Theory: An Interdisciplinary Review. Journal of Management, 874-900.
- Emmerson, R. (1976). Social exchange Theory. Annual Review of Sociology, 335-362.
- Forkmann, S., Henneberg, S. C., Naude, P., & Mitrega, M. (2016). Supplier relationship management capability: a qualification and extension. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 57, 185-200.
- Forslund, H. (2015). Performance management process integration in retail supply chains. International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 43(7), 652-670.
- Gadde, N., Amolo, B & Gordon, S., (2010). Supplier Evaluation and Performance Management Excellence. Boca Raton: J. Ross Publishing.
- Gwavuya, F., (2011). Leadership Effects on Turnover Intentions of Academic Staff in Institutions in Zimbabwe. *Academic Leadership Journal*, 9(1), 1-15.

- Hohenstein, N. O., Feisel, E., & Hartmann, E. (2014). Human resource management issues in supply chain management research: a systematic literature review from 1998 to 2014. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 44(6), 434-463.
- Khan, S. & Siddique, M., (2018). The Effect of Supplier Quality Management on Organizational Performance: A Survey of Supermarkets in Kakamega Town. *International Journal of Business and Commerce*,7(1), 71-82.
- Kiplagat,J. J. & Kiarie,D. (2015). Effect of supplier management practices on supply chain performance among state corporations in Kenya: Case study of the Kenya Medical Supplies Authority. *International Journal of Innovative Social Sciences & Humanities Research* 3(2):69-85
- Kosgei, R. C., & Gitau, R. (2016). Effect of supplier relationship management on organizational performance: A case study of Kenya Airways Limited. *International Academic Journal of Procurement and Supply Chain Management*, 2(2), 134-148.
- Lambert, D. M., Emmelhainz, M. A., & Gardner, J. T. (1996). Developing and Implementing Supply Chain Partnerships. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*,7(1), 1-17.
- Machoka, P.,O. (2016). *Public procurement practices and performance of selected constituency development fund projects in Kenya*. Doctor of philosophy (supply chain management) Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology.
- Meyer, J, & Rowan, H, S. (1997). Creating Lean Suppliers: Diffusing Lean Production through the Supply Chain. *California Management Review*, 39(4), 118–151.
- Mitra, S., & Datta, P. P. (2014). Adoption of green supply chain management practices and their impact on performance: an exploratory study of Indian manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Production Research*, 52(7), 2085-2107.
- Moore, N., (2012). Impact Supplier Segmentation on Performance Management at Army Life Cycle Management Commands: Gap Analysis of Best Practices. Washington DC: Rand Corporation.
- Mungai, P. M., (2014). Effect of Supplier Segmentation on Procurement Performance in the Real Estate Industry in Kenya: A Case Study of International House Ltd. *International Journal of Operations and Logistics Management*, 3 (3), 250-262.
- Mumelo, J. E., Tannenbaum, S. I., & Salas, E., (2017). Effects of Individual and Situational Characteristics on Measures of Training Effectiveness. Academy of Management Journal, 35(4), 828-847.
- Mwangi,F.W. & Muli, S. (2022). Influence of Supplier Relationship Management on the Performance of Food and Beverage Manufacturing Firms in Kenya: A Survey of Kiambu County. *International Journal of Business and Social Research* 12(3)13-30 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.18533/ijbsr.v12i02.1439</u>
- Nato, A., Miroga, J., & Otinga, H. (2021). Influence of ethical procurement issues on procurement performance in the county government of Kakamega, Kenya. *The Strategic Journal of Business & Change Management*, 8 (1), 692 – 709
- Naude, M.J., Ambe, I.M. & Kling, R. (2013). 'Supplier relationship management anathema for the South African public procurement sector'. *Journal of Transport and Supply Chain Management* 7(1), http://dx.doi.org/ 10.4102/jtscm. v7i1.93
- Odero, J. A. & Machuki, K.M. (2021). Public Procurement Ethics and Procurement Performance: The Case of Kakamega County Government, Kenya in Amutabi, M.N & Hamasi, L. Eds *Global Dynamics in Africa:* CEDRED Publications, Nairobi, Kenya.PP150-165
- Onyango, J., Obrien, C., & Ghodsypour, S. H. (2015). A Decision Support System for Supplier Selection Using an Integrated Analytic Hierarchy Process and Linear Programming. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 56(1), 99–212.
- Ochieng, V. (2014). Role of Supplier Development in Effectiveness of Procurement Function: A Case of National Cereal and Produce Board. *International Research Journal of Business* and Management, 7(4), 123-134.
- Onyinkwa, M.E. (2015). Buyer supplier relationships: Alternative research approaches. European

Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 6, 221-31.

- Opaleye, M. A., Ojelade, M. O., & Aremu, A. B. (2020). Effects of Supplier Relationship Management Practices on Performance of Quoted Food and Beverage Firms in Nigeria. IIARD International Journal of Economics and Business Management 6(2), 23-45
- Owalla, C. (2012). A Report on Management of Devolved Funds: A case Study of Kisumu Municipality. A Network of Civil Society Organization- Ufadhili Trust.
- Park, J., Shin, K., Chang, T.W., & Park, J. (2010). An integrative framework for supplier relationship management. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 110 (4), 495-515.
- PPRA (2020). Procurement Performance Based approaches in Kenya (1, 2, 3). JSW, 7(12), 2779-2786.
- Schuh, C., Strohmer, M.F., Easton, S., Hales, M., & Triplat, A. (2014). Supplier relationship management: How to maximize supplier value and opportunity. Apress.
- Selebwa, C. M., & Moronge, M. (2018). Influence of procurement management practices on performance of county governments in Kenya. A case study of Bungoma County. The *Strategic Journal of Business and Change Management*, 5(4), 396 – 412.
- Syengo, J. (2015). Ethical practices and supply chain performance at Kenya revenue authority. Unpublished MBA project, University of Nairobi.
- Tran, H. (2015). A case study of integrative creation of supplier relationship management process. Aalto University School of Business, Master's Thesis.
- World Bank.(2013). "Reducing Supply Chain Barriers Could Increase Global GDP Up To 6 Times More Than Removing All Import Tariffs Report", Switzerland